



Field house comes before pool in athletic priority list

After three hours of discussion, in which three different sets of priorities were voted upon, the Internal Affairs Committee decided the order in which the construction of new athletic facilities should be undertaken. The Governing Council will be asked to approve proceeding with the work in this order:

Reorganization of Hart House and Benson Building locker rooms to permit integrated use by men and women;

A field house, with 200 metre track, adjacent to the Benson Building;

Provision of laboratories and offices for the School of Physical and Health Education and the Department of Athletics and Recreation (Men) within the same building, beside Benson;

Squash courts, on a site to be determined;

A 50 metre 8 lane swimming pool, on a site to be determined;

Conversion of a parking lot on the east side of St. George St., between Hoskin and Bloor, to a playing field;

Enclosing the existing Robert St. playing field rink;

Provision of 1,000 seats for spectators in the field house and pool.

There was much debate over whether or not priorities could be established without further information as to the probable costs. The University has \$4.7 million available for athletic construction and it may be possible to obtain a million dollar grant from the federal government.

Howard Milne of Physical Plant reported the architects had estimated that a "ball park" figure for construction, professional fees and ancillary costs would be in the neighbourhood of \$6 million.

"We are asked to make decisions without knowing what the costs will be", said Principal Ralph Campbell Scarborough College. "How can we make a choice unless we have more specific information?"

Mrs. Marnie Paikin, chairman of the committee, replied that priorities had to

be set, even if final figures on costs were not known. Prof. Jill Conway, Vice-President, Internal Affairs, warned the members that separation of any of the components would increase the costs.

Prof. Bruce Kidd, an observer at the meeting, said the proposed plan was a minimum, without which the intended integration of athletic programs could not be carried out. "We have \$5 million", he said. "We can get another million from the federal government. We have to seek funds from every possible source, and get going."

Alex G. Rankin, Vice-President, Business Affairs, thought that more of the components could be included in one building than in several. It might be possible to do everything, he said. There was \$4.7 million on hand. The University had \$100,000 from the Toronto Toros for the use of Varsity Arena and had about \$400,000 from the sale of 188 Yonge St., which had not been allotted to anything yet. Until work begins, the \$4.7 million is earning interest at the rate of \$250,000 a year. Mr. Rankin said that, while he was not inclined to make a promise, a little juggling might make possible all the major facilities, including the pool.

Prof. Gary Thaler, urging the committee not to shilly-shally but to make a decision, suggested that the pool, which would cost between \$1.5 and \$2 million, be omitted at this time from the list of priorities and be funded separately, with federal money. He moved that the priorities be as they were later adopted, with the pool last on the list. Prof. Barry Coutts moved an amendment, that the pool be built first, below ground, with everything else above it (except, of course, the Robert St. rink, the St. George St. playing field, and the squash courts).

Prof. Conway said the architects had already indicated this last could not be

(See page 4, col. 3)

Hart House graduate committee criticizes some athletic plans

Speaking for the senior members (240 faculty and 1,140 alumnae and alumni last year), the Graduate Committee of Hart House, one of the seven standing committees of the governing Board of Stewards, has submitted to the Internal Affairs Committee of Governing Council its comments on the proposed new athletic facilities and athletic governance recommended by an Internal Affairs task force.

The Hart House brief says it shares in some of the policy objectives, "certainly the key ones of physical recreation and fitness", but points out that, while the task force report, quite properly for a university, assigns first rank to the formal study of physical activity, Hart House "is devoted to 'informal' education in the sense of free rather than required use of time."

The brief takes issue with the task force for not including alumni among those whose increased participation in athletic programs is desired. "Through the years Hart House has been the main opportunity for alumni to participate in the on-going life of the University. The report has not taken sufficient cognizance of the role Hart House has played and should continue to play in sport and recreation for alumni."

Objection is registered to the proposed use of eight offices in Hart House for academic use. "The use of Hart House facilities for formal education would be in conflict with the main purpose of the House", the brief says. "These rooms are very much needed for Hart House staff."

Noting that a new task force is proposed to study the government and administration of athletics, the Graduate Committee suggests that governing structures be in the plural, "since Hart

House is, in part, involved in athletics."

The committee thought Hart House might be invited to take part in the work of the new task force if it deals with matters impinging on the House. "We are especially concerned that the new task force remember that alumni are an integral part of the University community. We would hope that any new governing structure would not interpose a new layer of bureaucracy between us and the Governing Council."

Warden J. G. Lengelle, in a separate comment, urged the University to find other more suitable quarters for the faculty offices and, in a letter to Prof. Jill Conway, Vice-President, Internal Affairs, asked that a representative of Hart House be a part of the task force "so that the best cooperation and mutual understanding can be achieved for the use and government of Hart House premises."

Responsible suggestions for discipline amendments may still be submitted

The Internal Affairs Committee and Academic Affairs Committee of the Governing Council again draw to the attention of members of the University community that both committees will continue to receive, for consideration, all responsible suggestions for amendments to the discipline proposals, and encourage submissions in this regard.

Individuals or groups who wish to comment on substantive issues related to this subject, should communicate their interest to Mrs. M. Paikin, Chairman, Internal Affairs Committee, Room 106, Simcoe Hall.



YOUNGEST MODERATOR of the United Church of Canada, the Right Rev. N. Bruce McLeod, graduate of Trinity Emmanuel Colleges, is seen at Convocation on Nov. 30 when he received an honorary LL.D. With him are President Goldwin French of Victoria University, left, who presented the Moderator for the degree, and the Chancellor, Dr. Pauline McGibbon.

CAMPUS FORUM

R.M.H. SHEPHERD
Professor
Department of Classics
University College

I write to express my concern about the charade at the recent meeting of the Governing Council, especially because the bland account in your issue of 23 November incorrectly suggests either that constructive suggestions for amendment of the discipline proposals had not previously been sought or received, or that they were made at the meeting; second, your reporter chose to ignore the important remarks by John Dove. I find these features in your account curious and disturbing, though not unprecedented.

As a member of the University, and as a former member of the Governing Council, I feel it my duty to record certain facts and to make some comments on the debacle. I should make it clear that I am not primarily concerned with whether we need a detailed code at the U of T, or with the merits or demerits of this code and these structures. My concern is about the conduct of the Governing Council itself — the body which has supreme authority to rule upon all matters in this University, e.g. policies for appointment, tenure, etc., and the future existence of the academic units — and its capacity for effective legislation.

1) The Council had published a clear

statement of its order of business. It would meet in closed session at 4 p.m.; at about 5 the meeting would move into open session to deal with the proposed Discipline Structures and Procedures. The chamber was occupied at 4 p.m. by a group of observers many of whom were without tickets of admission. When the meeting opened, it was quickly agreed that the order of the agenda be reversed, as a concession to physical realities (however improper). This may not be thought an act of much importance in itself, but its implications are serious.

2) Discussion of the discipline proposals was permitted to begin, continue and end without any motion, over the protest of John Dove. In this case the procedure was quite unjustified, and was clearly another concession to illegitimate pressures. The whole question of discipline has been under active consideration

(See page 2, col. 1)

BULLETIN DEADLINE

The University of Toronto *Bulletin* is published on an *ad hoc* basis, generally once a week on Fridays. Copy should be typewritten and double-spaced. The deadline for most material is seven days before publication.

CAMPUS FORUM

(Continued from page 1)

ation for the past year, the details of which are on record. On the basis of this exhaustive process, in which there was informal and formal consultation with all interested parties at every crucial stage, the final draft was submitted by the Executive to Council in November. With the result described above. Proper procedure required a motion for adoption of the proposals as a basis for discussion. If a majority of members felt that a vote on the motion would be ill-advised, then a motion to adjourn debate on the question could have been put and carried.

3) Some speakers gave the impression (which seems to have gone uncorrected) that the Code had not really been approved in June, as it most certainly was.

4) Statements were made that constructive suggestions for amendment would be welcome, as if ample time had not already been given for such suggestions. The fact is that all those suggestions which could properly be accepted at the Committee level have already been accepted. There remain one or two points on which agreement could not be reached. The only possible justification for delay now would be to allow time for further explanation and clarification. If any further changes seem desirable after adoption of the Code and Procedures, then they can and will be incorporated by amendment.

5) The allegation that students have not had the opportunity to respond, or that "nobody would listen" to their responses, is nonsense. They have had time and they have been heard. The only difference between the position last Spring and today is that then student leaders had to rely on reasoned argument rather than the politics of intimidation. Grievances are not made legitimate merely because some group says that it is aggrieved.

6) Assurance is said to have been given that no vote would be taken on this matter while students are writing examinations. Such an assurance is without justification, and indeed sets a dangerous precedent because (a) it could mean that the Council may not determine any important matter if any group of potential observers claims it is too busy to attend; (b) it suggests that the student members of Council are incompetent to present the student point of view; and that the president of SAC will not get a hearing unless supported by fairly large numbers; (c) it rests on the false assumption that students are the only group subject to intense pressure of academic or other work at any one period. The real reason why students raised this issue is so obvious that it need not be stated. (Note that when student observers were present in sufficient numbers at the November meeting, no vote was taken - why?) Council should ensure that such assurances are not given again.

7) A campaign of misrepresentation has been carried on by S.A.C. and other groups, which is bound to mislead many students, teaching staff and the public, who do not have time to get the facts straight; but members of Council should not be misled, nor should they appear to condone such literally irresponsible tactics of harassment. The opposition to the Code and the structures is partly political and partly irrational, and is kept going by students who are either clever "university politicians", serious political extremists, or misinformed innocents.

8) The Governing Council has a duty to the University, the public and the government of Ontario to set an example of responsible conduct at all times, if it really wishes to demonstrate that a body of such heterogeneous composition can carry out its appointed task effectively.

The Council's conduct of business was often less than admirable during its twelve year, but one hoped that it would improve with experience. In the present situation, I urge all members of the University who substantially share my concerns to pay attention to the principles at stake, if not to the particular issue, to break silence and to make their views known to their representatives on Council.

ROBERT ANDERSON
President
Students' Administrative Council

C. M. WATSON
President
Graduate Students' Union

The Governing Council has received a letter from Mrs. Pelkin and Prof. Dove, Dunlop, Shepherd, and Swan attacking a discipline pamphlet published by the Students' Administrative Council and the Graduate Students' Union, printed in the Nov. 30 Bulletin. The letter asserts that student opposition to the Code of Behaviour "has been generated by a systematic campaign of innuendo and misrepresentation" and enumerates fourteen areas of alleged misrepresentation.

We feel compelled to answer in two ways. Student concern developed long before the SAC-GSU leaflet was published. Many individual students approached SAC and GSU in the early fall to indicate opposition to the Code. Because the federated universities must approve separately any discipline proposals, a number of other students have been involved in implementation discussions and have become very familiar with the Code and discipline structures. It is significant that most of these people, unlikely to be influenced by any alleged misrepresentation, are strongly opposed to the new proposals. Thus, the assertion that student opposition has been artificially created is itself a gross misrepresentation of the student opposition.

However, the letter deserves to be answered on another level. The fourteen objections raised by the letter are either rhetorical in nature, or semantic quibbles. Except in one instance they do not indicate fundamental inaccuracies in the SAC-GSU pamphlet. Thus, the letter is an attempt to obscure the true nature of the discipline code and discredit the student opposition to it. We shall deal with each of the fourteen points.

1) The pamphlet describes the discipline procedures as "unjust, arbitrary, and discriminatory". We believe the reasons for this assertion are amply documented. The central points are that the procedures leave a great deal of arbitrary power to the University, that faculty and students are treated differently, that the Code allows prosecution in both the criminal courts and the University's tribunals, and that the proposals provide a "legitimate" means for the University to control dissent. In this context, the Student's assurance that the disciplinary task force worked "conscientiously and in good faith" provides little comfort to students.

2) The pamphlet accurately asserted that the Code of Behaviour "appeared in its final form in April - too late in the year for students to respond." The Code underwent a complete revision in April when most specific prohibitions were added to what had previously been largely a statement of rights. By that time, the *Varsity* had ceased publication and most students had left campus. Student organizations had a chance to submit comments, but the student community at large was not consulted. SAC, GSU, APUS, and all but one of the student governors indicated at the time that passage of the Code should have been deferred until the fall. It is recognized that the Chairman of the Governing Council recently gave assurance that the discipline structures would not be adopted at a time when students were off campus.

The letter's statement that "the current discipline proposals are the culmination of work that was begun in 1968" evades the issue.

3) The pamphlet states that "tenured faculty members cannot be prosecuted under the Code." The statement should have been that tenured faculty cannot be dismissed under the Code. We apologize for this error.

However, the special treatment for tenured faculty remains one of the key problems. Under the *Haist* Rules, the faculty member and the President jointly choose a committee of three tenured faculty to investigate the charges and determine whether dismissal is warranted. There is no assurance whatever that the

rights of the students will be protected. No tenured faculty member has ever been dismissed under this procedure.

4) The pamphlet states that action against a tenured faculty member "must occur in secret and only by fellow faculty members." The *Haist* Rules state, "Except by mutual agreement [of the professor and the President], the hearing shall be in private." Given the refusal to grant Prof. Stephen Salaff the open hearing he requested, we believe that the statement about secrecy is fair.

5) The pamphlet states that the administration has refused to alter the application of the *Haist* Rules to discipline. Last spring, we received a clear undertaking that the Presidential Task Force on Academic Appointments would study how the *Haist* Rules had to be modified to be consistent with the discipline proposals. No mention of this is made in the task force report. In October, we were informed that no work had been done; however, it was thought that the "contractual obligations" probably prevented any change. We believe that these actions are a significant breach of faith on the part of the administration.

6) & 8) These two sections in the letter attack the SAC-GSU statement that the decision to prosecute is left to "administrative officials" of the University. The letter asserts that this decision can be made by a jurisdiction independent of the administration "determines that a *prima facie* case exists."

The "independent prosecutor", is appointed, paid, and can be fired by the Governing Council. To assert that the prosecutor is not an "administrative official" obscures the reality of the situation.

Moreover, given the vagueness of the Code and the absence of precedent, the establishment of a *prima facie* case will indeed be easy. How much evidence is required for a *prima facie* case? Is "undue" obstruction? Even if one grants the independence of the prosecutor, the real decision on whether or not to begin disciplinary proceedings arising out of a demonstration will rest with the Vice-President - Internal Affairs. The letter attempts to obscure this fact.

7) The pamphlet asserts that the system of double jurisdiction imposed by the Code is unjust. The letter defends double jurisdiction by giving examples in which the courts of the land do not deal adequately with offences. There are, however, many offences listed in the Code which are more than adequately dealt with by the courts. "Moral obstruction" and "physical abuse" are clear examples.

The letter also cites the example of a person who frequently vandalizes library books: "even if he or she has been convicted under the Criminal Code, it could still be necessary to exclude him or her from the Library for the protection of other users."

This last statement is highly misleading. A judge has the power to impose such exclusion as a condition of probation. Alternatively, the Tribunal has the power to withdraw library privileges. Either alternative will protect the library collection at least as well as expelling the offender, as is permitted under the Code.

9) The pamphlet says that "The administration does not have to prove its case on the basis of evidence acceptable in court - convictions can result from hearsay and rumour."

(a) The letter deliberately distorts our position: "Contrary... to the statement in the leaflet... it is not the Tribunal which hearsay evidence can be acceptable and useful under certain circumstances." We do not object to the admission of hearsay under carefully controlled conditions; indeed, we proposed that the provisions of the Canada and Ontario Evidence Acts be applied. We do object to the fact that no guidelines have been specified.

The letter suggests that the Tribunal will accept hearsay evidence only "if the circumstances of the case warrant its doing so." Given that the prosecutor will be represented by legal counsel more frequently than the accused, the decision on acceptance of hearsay is likely to be biased towards the prosecution. Moreover, the practice of the Caput suggests that a Tribunal is likely to allow the evidence to be admitted almost without question, unless guidelines are established.

(b) The letter further misrepresents us

in saying that we claimed convictions could be based solely on hearsay. Such a situation would be unlikely. However, hearsay could well be the *critical evidence* which tips the balance towards conviction or acquittal. It is not true that hearsay or alters the penalty imposed. If hearsay had no effect on the verdict or penalty, no one would bother to introduce it.

10) The pamphlet states that "Students can be expelled for repeatedly failing to return library books on time." The letter admits this, but argues that expulsion is unlikely. The authors of the letter obscure the real problem.

Most faculty members and students could be charged and convicted under this section. By designating as criminal an activity practised by the majority of the members of the community, the Code permits the selective harassment of those whom the administration and "independent prosecutor consider troublesome."

11) The pamphlet asserts that the student members of the Tribunal "will have no say over the penalties assessed." The letter says "this is quite untrue", and that the judge will have the power to impose penalties before imposing penalties. Our understanding of English is that to have a "say" in a decision involves decision-making power. Since the judge has the power to set the penalty disregarding the advice of the Tribunal, our statement is both fair and accurate.

12) The letter again asserts that the judge is appointed and paid by the University, is not a member of the "administration." The argument put forward is one of semantics, not of substance.

13) The pamphlet asserts that students "have no power to determine offences or to shape the conditions which give rise to disciplinary problems" (e.g. who teaches their courses, how the courses are conducted). The letter labels this a "serious distortion of the true situation."

How can the authors claim that students have any significant power in determining offences, when the three major student organizations and all eight student governments have no say in the Code as adopted? In what department, other than Sociology, do students have any power in determining who teaches their courses? In how many classrooms do students have the right to negotiate operational agreement on course conduct, as recommended by the Campbell Report?

14) The letter concludes with the following statement: "The most serious disciplinary problems in recent years [emphasis added] occurred when, as a result of a dispute about parity, a group of students and others repeatedly and continuously disrupted the proceedings of the Council of the Faculty of Arts and Science... and prevented the business of that Faculty from being conducted."

The "students and others" were members of the Council. The dispute concerned the introduction of the Foley restructuring of the Faculty Council, which not merely denied students parity, but also excluded them totally from the several critical Committees of the Council on Academic Standards, Standing, and Admissions. To say that the dispute was about "parity" is a distortion.

The students began by using parliamentary tactics, and only halted the meeting after the Chairman refused to entertain student motions or even to allow challenges to his rulings, in violation of the rules of order. According to the letter, this is the "most serious disciplinary problem in recent years."

The letter is clearly designed to recognize that hearsay evidence can be acceptable and useful under certain circumstances. We do not object to the admission of hearsay under carefully controlled conditions; indeed, we proposed that the provisions of the Canada and Ontario Evidence Acts be applied. We do object to the fact that no guidelines have been specified.

The letter suggests that the Tribunal will accept hearsay evidence only "if the circumstances of the case warrant its doing so." Given that the prosecutor will be represented by legal counsel more frequently than the accused, the decision on acceptance of hearsay is likely to be biased towards the prosecution. Moreover, the practice of the Caput suggests that a Tribunal is likely to allow the evidence to be admitted almost without question, unless guidelines are established.

(b) The letter further misrepresents us in saying that we claimed convictions could be based solely on hearsay. Such a situation would be unlikely. However, hearsay could well be the *critical evidence* which tips the balance towards conviction or acquittal. It is not true that hearsay or alters the penalty imposed. If hearsay had no effect on the verdict or penalty, no one would bother to introduce it.

We believe that the letter signed by the five members of the discipline task force is an irresponsible attempt to mislead members of the Governing Council and the University community about the nature of the discipline proposals, the nature of the objections raised by the SAC-GSU pamphlet, and the way in which student opposition has developed.

COMING EVENTS

Friday 7 December

FILM — Colour film version of "Zar und Zimmermann" opera by Albert Lortzing, with the Hamburg State Opera. Auditorium A, Carr Hall, St. Michael's College. 8 p.m. (German, SMC and Consulate General of the Federal Republic of Germany) Free.

LECTURE: Renaissance — "Love's Sweetest Part, Variety: The Renaissance Poet Arranges his Canzoniere". Prof. Germaine Warkentin. South dining room, Hart House. 8 p.m. — Renaissance and Reformation Colloquium (Medieval Studies)

Saturday 8 December

LECTURE: Pets — "Of Pets and People". Dr. Alan C. Secord, Second Animal Hospital. Convocation Hall. 8.15 p.m. (Royal Canadian Institute)

Sunday 9 December

SERVICE — Massey College Vespers Service. Advent music by Britten, Farrant and Michael Wise (arr. Giles Bryant). Massey College Chapel. 5 p.m. Visitors welcomed.

SERVICE — Annual Advent Service of lessons and carols. Trinity College Chapel. 4.30 p.m.

MUSIC — University of Toronto Concert Choir; conductor, Charles W. Heffernan. Concert Hall, Edward Johnson Building. 3 p.m. No tickets, no charge. (Music)

MUSIC — Riverdale Collegiate Concert Choir and Riverdale Alumni Choir. Conductor, John Ford. Meeting Place, Scarborough College. 3.30 p.m. Free.

FAMILY FILMS — Museum Theatre. 2.30 p.m. Free with Museum admission

FILMS — Museum Theatre. 7 p.m. Free.

Monday 10 December

SEMINAR: Medicine — "ACTH Induced CAMP and Steroid Production by Isolated Adrenal Cortex Cells: Structure Activity Relationships among ACTH and Analogs: A 'receptor reserve' Model". Dr. George Sayers, Case Western Reserve University. 417 C. H. Best Institute. 4 p.m. (BBDMR)

SERVICE — Ralph Sauer, trombone. Concert Hall, Edward Johnson Building. 8.15 p.m. No tickets, no charge (Music)

SEMINAR: Geology — "Serpentinisation and Nickel Sulphide Assemblages". Dr. R. Eckstrang, G.S.C., Ottawa, C.I.M. Lecturer. 128 Mining Building. 4 p.m. (Geology)

COLLOQUIUM: Computer — "Hardware: as Related to Software and Hardware". Dr. Anatol Holt, Computer Associates Inc., Wakefield, Mass. 202 McLennan Physical Laboratories. 4 p.m. (SGS and Computer Science)

Tuesday 11 December

COLLOQUIUM: Astronomy — "Evolved Stars in Open Clusters". Gretchen Hagen. David Dunlap Observatory. 4 p.m. (Astronomy)

LECTURES: Chemistry — The A.R. Gordon Distinguished Lecture Series by Prof. Harry B. Gray, Professor of Chemistry, California Institute of Technology. Dec. 11, 13 and 14. Dec. 11: "Structure and Excited-State Reactivity of Complexes Containing Metal-Metal Bonds". 162 Lash Miller Chemical Laboratories. 4.10 p.m. (Chemistry)

Wednesday 12 December

SUPPER — Faculty Club buffet supper (and cheer) 6.30-8.30 p.m.; reception 5.30-6.30 p.m.

SEMINAR: Medicine — "Research on Prostaglandins". Dr. F. Coccani, Hospital for Sick Children. 3227 Medical Sciences Building. 4 p.m. (Physiology)

COLLOQUIUM: Psychology — "Is Intervention in Conflict Situations (e.g., African Horn, Belfast, Cyprus) Researchable?". Prof. Leonard W. Doob, Yale University. 2102 Sidney Smith Hall. 4.10 p.m. (SGS and Psychology)

LECTURE: Structures Literaires — "Du genre au texte (Mallarmé)". Prof. Michael Hoffmann, Columbia University. 122 U.C. 4 p.m. (French U.C.)

MUSIC — Chamber Music of Mozart. Concert Hall, Edward Johnson Building. 8.15 p.m. No tickets, no charge (Music)

Thursday 13 December

LECTURE: Chemistry — "The Structural Nature of Co-ordinated Dioxygen in Simple Complexes and in Proteins". Prof. Harry B. Gray. 162 Lash Miller Chemical Laboratories. 4.10 p.m. (Chemistry)

COLLOQUIUM: Physics — "Critical Phenomena". Dr. A. Levitt-Sengers, National Bureau of Standards. 102 McLennan Physical Laboratories. 4 p.m. (Physics)

COLLOQUIUM: Mathematics — "The Number of Countable Models of a Theory". Prof. M. Makkai, Universities of Manitoba and Montreal. 5017B Sidney Smith Hall. 4.10 p.m. (Mathematics)

Friday 14 December

LECTURE: Chemistry — "Electron Transfer Pathways Employed by Metallo-proteins". Prof. Harry B. Gray. 162 Lash Miller Chemical Laboratories. 4.10 p.m. (Chemistry)

Sunday 16 December

MUSIC — The Toronto Consort — Christmas music from the Middle Ages and the Renaissance. Meeting Place, Scarborough College. 3.30 p.m. Free.

MUSIC — Opera excerpts. MacMillan Theatre, Edward Johnson Building. 8 p.m. No tickets, no charge (Music)

MUSIC — New Chamber Orchestra of Canada, soloist, Anton Kuerti, piano. Great Hall, Hart House. 8.30 p.m. Tickets \$4, students \$2. Please call 928-5524.

Sunday 6 January

FAMILY FILMS — ROM Theatre. 2.30 p.m. Free with Museum admission

FILMS — ROM Theatre. 7 p.m. Free

Tuesday 8 January

COLLOQUIUM: Astronomy — "Astronomy in Schools". Douglas Paul, Board of Education, North York. David Dunlap Observatory, 4 p.m. (Astronomy)

Wednesday 9 January

FRENCH FILMS — 106 U.C. 12.10 and 3.10 p.m. (French, U.C.)

PROGRAM: Women's Studies — "Women vs. Medicine". Faculty of Education. 7 p.m. Free

Chapter of Canadian Professors for Peace in the Middle East

The following has been received from Prof. Morris Wayman:

A University of Toronto Chapter of Canadian Professors for Peace in the Middle East has been formed. At the formative meeting Nov. 6, Prof. Charles Dalfen, Law; Murray Freedman, Pharmacy; Noah Meltz, Political Science and Economics; and Morris Wayman, Chemical Engineering and Forestry, were chosen as the executive committee, with Prof. Wayman as Chairman. Prof. Norman May, of York University, described activities of parallel chapters at York and McGill Universities. The parent body, American Professors for Peace in the Middle East, has 15,000 affiliates on 600 campuses.

Ambassador Netanel Lorch, Secretary-General of the Knesset, the Parliament of Israel in Jerusalem, joined the group following the business part of the meeting, and answered questions for more than an hour. Mr. Lorch was asked about Israel's priorities in a settlement. He put the highest priority as the exchange of prisoners of war. He stated that Israel had been assured by the highest authorities that both the U.S.A. and the U.S.S.R. were committed to the exchange of war prisoners, and that this had been a condition of Israel's acceptance of the

ceasefire. The second priority is direct negotiations and the establishment of ongoing exchange of ambassadors and the other evidences of normal relations with Egypt and the other Arab countries. Mr. Lorch also stressed the prevalent Israeli interpretation of the current war as a Soviet war conducted by proxy, and suggested that the Soviets regard it as a test of the West's will for freedom.

The primary thrust of the new organization will be to study the Middle East situation and to share their findings with the academic community of the University of Toronto.

Free skating for students at Upper Canada's rink

All University of Toronto students who would like an evening of pleasure skating are invited to be at Devonshire Place (basically Varsity Stadium) at about 7.20 p.m. on Sunday. Buses will leave at 7.30 for Upper Canada College, returning to the campus shortly after 10 p.m. These arrangements will be in effect on the following Sundays: Dec. 2, 9, Jan. 20, 27, and Feb. 3, 10, and 17. No charge is involved.

Tailor-made courses in English for Mexican and Chinese students

Three graduates of Peking University and fourteen members of the Canada-Mexico exchange program for young specialists and technicians are learning English at the U of T. The Division of Extension is providing two tailor-made courses to accommodate the differing needs of the visitors.

The Mexican guests, under the auspices of the Department of Manpower and Immigration, spend four weeks of their seven months in Canada learning English, after which they will undergo in-plant training in their professional fields. Eight instructors drill the fourteen students for thirty hours per week, while tours, films and cultural activities provide much-needed relaxation. Arrangements were made with the Government of Canada at very short notice; in fact, one of the undertakings of Prof. Carlos Yorin after his appointment was to visit Ottawa.

The Chinese students, part of an exchange between the People's Republic of China and Canada, attend English classes for ten hours a week, leaving them ample time for their work in other departments. The Division of University Extension

hopes that it will be able to offer similarly designed programs to other non-English speaking students during the year, in addition to its intensive course in the Summer session.

Innis College searches for program director

Innis College invites applications for the position of Director of College Programs. This person, preferably a member of the University Community, will be responsible for the coordination of the planning and administration of college-based programs, with some teaching in the College. Because part of the position involves liaison between the College and the Faculty of Arts and Science, as well as counselling the students, the College is looking for someone with imagination, initiative and tact. For further information interested individuals are asked to make contact with the Search Committee, Principal's Office, Innis College (928-2510).

Academic councils are encouraged to include alumni as members

Active participation by alumni in academic councils and search and selection committees has been recommended to the University through the approval of three sections of the Presidential Long Range Planning Committee on Alumni Affairs by the External Affairs Committee of Governing Council.

As reported to Governing Council by Ian Tate, chairman of the External Affairs Committee, the recommendations are:

"That the councils of colleges, faculties and schools which have not yet done so be encouraged to seek means to include

in their membership representatives of the alumni of the appropriate constituency.

"That in those areas of the University in which it is not yet current practice the inclusion of alumni representatives on search and selection committees for senior academic and non-academic appointments be encouraged.

"That the central administration maintain a sensitivity to alumni proposals with respect to those divisions which might not fully recognize the benefits which they could achieve from advisory councils."

Student-community co-op housing leasing arrangement proposed

A guaranteed ten-year tenancy within a 25 year lease and a year's notice after that period of termination are two proposals submitted to the Governing Council concerning the joint University — Innis College co-operative housing project. Laura Bradbury, residence co-ordinator for Innis, has announced these proposals for the project which will renovate existing houses near the planned Innis College building on St. George St. and Sussex Ave. for student-community co-operative housing.

A draft lease between the co-operative and the University specified that the University could take over the housing at any time within the 25 years if the co-op failed to meet its aims. Given the disruption of families in the community, many of whom intend to join the co-op, and the initial time and effort of planning, the guaranteed ten years within the lease is seen as a reasonable expectation. The longer notice of termination (one year instead of 30 days) will allow residents ample time to resettle.

STAFF NOTES

Administration

MRS. AUDREY HOZACK has been selected as a member of the Management Committee for the Association of College Unions-International.

Trinity College

REV. J.C. HURD delivered the presidential address to the Canadian Society of Biblical Studies "Isaiah's Curse According to Mark" at the Conference of Learned Societies, Queen's University, June 1.

Medicine

DR. PAUL G. WALFISH attended the 9th World Congress of the Israel Medical Association and participated in the Scientific Program presenting a lecture entitled "B-Mode Ultrasonography in the Management of Thyroid Nodules" on May 25 in Tel Aviv. He also attended the annual meeting of the American Endocrine Society in Chicago, June 19-23, as well as attending the American Diabetes Association Annual Meeting to present on the Scientific Program a paper entitled "Normalized Cortisol and Growth Hormone Responses in Gross Obesity by the Augmented Insulin Test" on June 24 at the Drake Hotel, Chicago. Dr. Walfish attended the annual meeting of the American Thyroid Association held in Seattle, Sept. 12 to 16 and presented a paper on the Scientific Program entitled "B-Mode Ultrasonography in the Management of Thyroid Nodules". Dr. Walfish has been awarded a National Health and Welfare of Canada grant of \$32,090 extending to March, 1975 for a program entitled "Early Detection of Hypothyroidism in the Newborn and the Prevention of Mental Retardation". This program will introduce the first routine screening program for the detection of cretinism in the newborn in the Province of Ontario and will commence at the Mount Sinai Hospital.

MISS S. HENDERSON, PROF. M. C. RICKARDS and PROF. M. L. STOICHEFF attended the American Speech and Hearing Association Convention held in Detroit, Oct. 12 to 15. Prof. Stoicheff presented a paper, by invitation, on "Communicating with the Aphasic Patient" to the Ontario Hospital Association Convention, Toronto, on Oct. 31.

Education

"Problem Solving-The Computer Approach" by PROFS. L. J. LAFAVE, G. D. MILBRANDT and D. W. GARTH was published last June by McGraw-Hill Book Company of New York. In addition to the text the authors have prepared an extensive teachers resource book which includes not only problem solutions but teaching suggestions and methods of student evaluation. Also included is information on a hypothetical computer language (ZAP) they developed to reinforce basic computer concepts. The resource book was published in Canada

Invite male graduates to apply for award

Christ Church College, University of Oxford, invites applications from male graduates of Canadian universities for the Marian Buck Scholarship. The scholar will be expected to take up the award in October, 1974, and will be appointed for two years with the possibility of an extension for a third year. The value of the scholarship is £1,200 a year, which may be increased to £1,400 if he is married.

Candidates must be students of the Humanities and are expected to read for a higher degree in an arts subject at Oxford.

Applications should be made to the Master, Massey College, not later than Jan. 15, 1974.

Every applicant must state his proposed course of study and submit supporting letters from three referees.



FIRST FELLOWS of the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education are photographed after their inauguration in the new honour, which recognizes their leadership in education. From left to right: Dean Vernon Gordon, chairman of OISE board of governors; the three Fellows - James W. Singleton, Florence I. Henderson, John S. Darling - and Dr. Robert W. B. Jackson, director of OISE.

during July and will be released in the United States with supplemental materials early this fall.

Hygiene

DR. A. P. RUDEMAN served as visiting lecturer in the general area of "delivery and financing of health care" at the Faculties of Medicine of McMaster University (Oct. 23) and the University of Western Ontario (Oct. 24) and at the School of Public Health of the University of Illinois in Chicago (Oct. 30).

Forestry

DEAN V. J. NORDIN and PROFS. F. M. BUCKINGHAM, L. FARRAR and D. V. LOVE attended the annual meeting of the Canadian Institute of Forestry at Prince Albert, Sask. Oct. 14 - 18. Dean Nordin presented a paper entitled "Forestry Education in Canada - The Future is Now". A meeting of the newly formed Association of University Forestry Schools of Canada was held at the same time.

PROF. LOVE attended a meeting of the Canada Council of Rural Development, which was held in Winnipeg, Oct. 9-11.

DR. J. J. BALATINECZ, on assignment for the International Development Research Centre, visited the Forest Products Research Institute in Ghana, during August, to study ways to expand the use of products in low-cost housing.

PROF. V. G. SMITH attended the Mid-West Forest Mensurationist Meeting in Oshkosh, Wis. Sept. 4-7, where he presented a paper entitled, "Predicting Trends in Land Use - A Methodology". In the summer he completed field work for an evaluation of tree-length scaling methods in Newfoundland and Labrador. This is part of a co-operative study among the Canadian Department of the Environment, the Newfoundland Forest Service and three pulp and paper companies in Newfoundland and Labrador. He has recently been elected to the council of the Southern Ontario Section of the Canadian Institute of Forestry.

PROF. A. S. MICHELL attended the logging field meeting of the Woodlands Section, Canadian Pulp and Paper Association and the Forest Industries Equipment Exhibition at Ottawa, Sept. 18-20.

PROF. V. G. SMITH presented a paper entitled "Forestry Education at Toronto", at the Phase III Workshop of the Curriculum Development Project of the Association of Forest School Executives, at Carleton, Ky., Nov. 7.

PROF. F. J. KEENAN attended a meeting of the National Research Program Committee for Structural Utilization of the federal Department of the Environment at the Western Forest Products Laboratory, Vancouver, on Oct. 30 and Nov. 1.

DR. M. HUBBES attended the annual meeting of the International Working Group on Poplar Diseases (FAO), held in Casale Monferrato, Italy, May 27 to June 2, where he presented a paper on "Side Effects of Bark Inhibitors of *Populus tremuloides* Against *Hypoxyton prunatum*". Dr. Hubbes participated at the 2nd International Congress of Plant Pathology held in Minnesota, Sept. 5-12, where he presented a paper on "Organic Acids Present in Living Systems

and Coremia Formation by *Ceratocystis ulmi* the Causal Agent of Dutch Elm Disease". He also participated at the 1st National Medical Seminar on "The Utilization of Oaks", held in Morelia, Mexico, Oct. 8-12, where he gave a paper on "Plagues and Diseases of Oaks".

Music

DEAN JOHN BECKWITH gave a talk to the National Council, Royal Conservatory Alumni, on Nov. 5, on "Teaching Voice: An Evolving View".

Three Faculty of Music staff members have composed new pieces or commissions from the Toronto Symphony Women's Committee for the "Symphony Seminars" - a series of workshop performances given by groups of Symphony players in Metro Toronto secondary schools. They are Dean John Beckwith ("Musical Chairs" for bass and string quartet), WALTER BUCZYNSKI ("Three Against Many" for flute, clarinet, bassoon and High School Orchestra) and PROF. TALIVALDIS KENINS ("Serenade" for oboe and cello). The latter work had a performance on Oct. 25 at North Toronto Collegiate Institute.

Social Work

PROF. BEN SCHLESINGER led a workshop on "Teaching Human Sexuality in Schools of Social Work", at the Annual General Assembly of the Canadian Association of Schools of Social Work in Kingston, May 29. He participated in a workshop on "Family Life Education" at the Annual Meeting of the Vanier Institute of the Family, in Winnipeg, Sept. 16. He has received a grant from the Department of National Health and Welfare to develop and edit a book of readings, "Family Planning in Canada".

PROF. SIDNEY OLVAN was panel discussion leader for "Supervision in Social Work: Boon or Burden?" at the Annual Meeting and Conference of the Ontario Association of Professional Social Workers, in London, Ont., May 25.

Field house is given priority over pool

(Continued from page 1)

done in one structure for \$6 million. The cost would be \$8 or \$9 million.

Prof. Coult's amendment was defeated and the vote on Prof. Thaler's motion resulted in a tie, which the chairman said meant its defeat. During the debate on the resulting impasse, Mrs. Paikin outlined a slightly different set of priorities. Gordon N. Fisher, who previously had suggested that the architects be asked to see if everything could be housed within one building for the money available and that priorities not be assigned until a more detailed study was made, agreed to put Mrs. Paikin's list in a motion. This was carried unanimously, including an amendment by Prof. Thaler that the University administration be asked to cost two plans, one including the swimming pool and one without a pool.

So much time was devoted to the discussion that the committee did not deal with parking, the other subject on the agenda. This is to be the first item for discussion at the next meeting of Internal Affairs on Dec. 17.

Scarborough College academic openings

Geography

Applications are invited for positions in Geography within the Division of Social Sciences at Scarborough College. The appointments may be made at any rank on a visiting one year basis or at the assistant professor level on a regular basis. Salary is open. Candidates should have a Ph.D. or be in the final stages of Ph.D. work.

Applications will be considered in any field in geography but preference is for candidates in:

- Cultural Geography with research and teaching interests in landscape and the history and philosophy of geography.
- Environmental Analysis with research and teaching interests in soils, bioclimatology, hydrology or applied geomorphology.
- Cartography.

Applicants with experience or interests in Canadian problems would be welcomed with expertise in air photo interpretation/remote sensing would be advantageous. The successful candidate would be expected to participate in an active undergraduate program at Scarborough College whilst opportunity for graduate teaching exists on the St. George campus of the U of T.

Letters of application with curriculum vitae and the names of three referees should be submitted not later than February 15th, 1974 to Prof. Brian Greenwood, Geography, Scarborough College, University of Toronto, West Hill, Ont. M1C 1A4.

Social Sciences

- Assistant professor: Teaching fields - Canadian Government and Political Behaviour
- (one or more visiting assistant professors: Teaching fields - U.S. Government; Soviet Government; Political Behaviour; Comparative Government

Applicants should send a copy of their curriculum vitae to: Prof. L. Tarshis, Chairman, Division of Social Sciences, Scarborough College, University of Toronto, West Hill, Ont. M1C 1A4.

Search for successor to Erindale principal

The following will serve on a search committee which the President has appointed to recommend a successor to Prof. J. T. Wilson as Principal of Erindale College:

Prof. Helen J. Breslauer, Department of Sociology; Principal D. R. Campbell, Scarborough College; Dean R. A. Greene, Faculty of Arts and Science; Christopher Hale; Prof. M. J. Hare, Department of Political Economy; Glen Morrison; Prof. J. R. Percy, Department of Astronomy; Prof. A. J. Poe, Department of Chemistry; Dean A. E. Safarian, School of Graduate Studies; Paul Trueman; Prof. R. W. Van Fossen, Department of English; Prof. D. F. Forster, Vice-President and Provost (Chairman); D. B. Cook, Executive Assistant to the Vice-President and Provost (Secretary).

Suggestions are most welcome and should be directed to the chairman or to any other member of the committee as soon as possible.

EXHIBITIONS

Scarborough College Art Acquisitions. Gallery 1 - open weekdays and Sunday from 2 to 6 p.m. Dec. 10-21.

"Images du Quebec Ancien" - Faculty of Education, Dec. 7-28.

"Punch and other Puppets". Dec. 4 - Jan. 13. Exhibition Hall, ROM. Tours Monday to Friday at 2 p.m. except holidays.

"Children of the Ark" - photographs of young animals. Third Floor Rotunda, ROM. Dec. 7-28.

"Wildlife Paintings by Gary Low". Third Floor Rotunda, ROM. Nov. 28 to Jan. 13.

Flat Woven Rugs from West Asia and the Balkans and costumes showing the influence of rug weaving. Textile Gallery, ROM. Until Jan. 6.